

REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is in reply to the Restriction Requirement dated October 6, 2004.

Claims 5 and 11 have been amended above. No new matter has been entered and the subject matter is fully supported by the specification, drawings and claims as originally filed. Further, such amendments relate to the form of the claims, and so should not be construed in a limiting manner.

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated October 6, 2004, Applicant elects with traverse Group I, claims 1-4 and 6-10, drawn to a composition comprising a medium.

Applicant notes that claims 5 and 11 have been amended to depend from claims 1 and 6, respectively. Further, claims 5 and 11 should now be considered linking claims, while claim 12 depends on claim 11. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

If the Examiner has any questions in regard to this matter, she is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at (612) 336-4755.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903

Dated: 8 November 2004

2 : W)

Brian H. Batzli
Reg. No. 32,960
BBATZLI:dc